LEONARD G. JOHNSON

DETROIT RESEARCH INSTITUTE
P.O. BOX 36504 • GROSSE POINTE, MICHIGAN 48236 • (313) 886-7976

WANG H. YEE

Volume 8 Bulletin 8 February, 1979 Page 1

## THE RANDOM RATIO TECHNIQUE OF PREDICTION

## I: INTRODUCTION

Since predicting invariably reduces to a scheme of extrapolating past and present trends into the future , it is only natural to inquire what is a convenient method of doing this . There is a randomness in future behaviour which must be accounted for by means of one or more random variables in the prediction scheme . The type of functional relation chosen for the prediction scheme must be consistent with the nature of the distribution functions of the random variables . In this bulletin we shall discuss a technique which employs a random ratio between the values of a transient function at times t and  $\lambda$  t, where  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ .

#### II: ANALYTICAL RELATIONS

Let Y(t) denote the value of a MONOTONE INCREASING function of time at the time t. Such functions arise in economic studies whenever we consider such items as cumulative demand, cumulative sales, cumulative costs, etc. Let  $Y(\lambda t)$  denote the value of the same monotone increasing function at some previous time  $\lambda t$ , where  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ .

Now suppose

$$Y(t) = \mathcal{U} \cdot Y(\lambda t) , \qquad (1)$$

where # is a random variable independent of time.

The variable  $\not$ M will in general be a function of  $\lambda$ , and will also have probability levels ranging from 0 to 1 .

Volume 8

February, 1979 Page 2

Bulletin 8

Let  $p = Probability of having a Ratio <math>\frac{Y(t)}{Y(\lambda t)} \leq M$ .

Due to Monotonicity  $\frac{Y(t)}{Y(\lambda t)} > 1$ . Consequently, the zero probability level of the Ratio M is at M = 1.

Hence, let us assume that the random ratio / has a Weibull Distribution

$$P = 1 - 2^{-\left(\frac{M-1}{9-1}\right)^{b}}$$
 (2)

Where  $\theta$  and b are undetermined parameters.  $\begin{pmatrix} \theta > 1 \\ b > 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

The parameter  $\theta$  is the median value of  $\mathcal{U}$ , i.e., the one for which  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ 

In order to arrive at a reasonable result for the median value of the ratio  ${\cal M}$  , let us differentiate (1) with respect to time . Thus ,

$$Y'(t) = \mu \lambda \cdot Y'(\lambda t)$$
 (3)

Because the function Y(t) is monotone increasing, it follows that

$$Y'(t) \geqslant 0$$
 and that  $Y'(\lambda t) > 0$ .

It is reasonable to expect that 50% of the time the slope at time t will exceed the slope at a previous time  $\lambda$  t, and that 50% of the time the slope at time t will be less than the slope at a previous time  $\lambda$  t. Therefore, we assume

MEDIAN VALUE OF 
$$\mu\lambda$$
 = 1 (4)

.. MEDIAN VALUE OF 
$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\theta}$$
 (5)

Volume 8

February, 1979

Bulletin 8

Page 3

Substituting (5) into (2), we obtain

$$p = 1 - 2 - \left(\frac{u - 1}{\lambda}\right)^{b} \tag{6}$$

Solving (6) for  $\mathcal M$ :

$$\mathcal{U} = 1 + (\frac{1}{5} - 1) \left( log_2 \frac{1}{1 - p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (7)

## III : DISCUSSION

Upon examining (7) we see that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a function of the probability level  $\not$  and of the value of  $\lambda$ . Therefore, it is appropriate to replace  $\mathcal{M}$  by a symbol indicating this functional relationship. Thus,

$$\mu_{p} = 1 + (\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1) \left( \log_{2} \frac{1}{1 - p} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
 (7a)

From this relation it follows that the Median Value of the Random Ratio  $\mu$  at the value  $\lambda$  is

$$\mu_{s}(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} - 1 = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Hence, the Median Predicted Value of Y(t) from a given value  $Y(\mathbf{\lambda}\,t)$  becomes

$$Y(t)_{\text{predicted median}} = \frac{1}{\lambda} Y(\lambda t)$$
 (8)

In other words, the Median Value of a Monotone Increasing Function (such as cumulative sales) at time t is twice its value at time t/2, three times its value at t/3, etc., which is a reasonable conclusion when the ratio  $/\!\!\!/$  is a completely random variable.

### DRI STATISTICAL BULLETIN

Volume 8 Bulletin 8 February, 1979

Page 4

## IV : CONCLUSION

The Random Ratio Technique herein developed reduces to an assumption of a median condition consisting of a linear increase in a monotone increasing function Y(t) with respect to time. The amount of possible deviation from such a uniform rate of increase will be reflected in the value of the parameter b of the Weibull Distribution assumed for the Random Ration  $\mathcal{M}_{\rho}(\lambda)$ .

Thus, we have a prediction formula

$$Y(t) = \mu_p(\lambda) \cdot Y(\lambda t) \tag{1a}$$

Where

$$M_p(\lambda) = 1 + (\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1) (\log_2 \frac{1}{1-p})^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
 (7a)

The Probability Level p at which a prediction is made will be called the PREDICTION POLICY. Thus, if a .5 POLICY is in effect, it is understood that the Median Value  $\mathcal{A}_{.S}(\lambda)$  is being used. Significant growth in the value of Y(t) over and above a linear increase will necessitate a p - POLICY with p > .5. On the other hand, a slowing down of the growth of Y(t) to less than a linear growth will necessitate a p-POLICY with p < .5.

### DRI STATISTICAL BULLETIN

Volume 8

Bulletin 8

February, 1979

Page 5

# EXAMPLE OF RANDOM RATIO PREDICTION

The cumulative sales of a certain product over the first four months of production is as follows

Cumulative Months	Cumulative Sales
1	10,005 units
2	21,706 units
3	31,921 units
4	40,950 units

QUESTION: What is the predicted Cumulative Sales after 12 cumulative months?

SOLUTION: There are 6 Sales Ratios. These are

$$2 \mathcal{M}_{1} = \frac{\text{Month 2}}{\text{Month 1}} = \frac{21,706}{10,005} = 2.16952$$

$$3 \mathcal{M}_{1} = \frac{\text{Month 3}}{\text{Month 1}} = \frac{31,921}{10,005} = 3.19050$$

$$4 \mathcal{M}_{1} = \frac{\text{Month 4}}{\text{Month 1}} = \frac{40,950}{10,005} = 4.09295$$

$$3 \mathcal{M}_{2} = \frac{\text{Month 3}}{\text{Month 2}} = \frac{31,921}{21,706} = 1.47061$$

$$4 \mathcal{M}_{2} = \frac{\text{Month 4}}{\text{Month 2}} = \frac{40,950}{21,706} = 1.88658$$

$$4 \mathcal{M}_{3} = \frac{\text{Month 4}}{\text{Month 3}} = \frac{40,950}{31,921} = 1.28285$$

### DRI STATISTICAL BULLETIN

Volume 8

Bulletin 8

February, 1979

Page 6

These 6 Sales Ratios have the following values of  $\lambda$  and p associated with them:

Value of Sales Ratio	Subscript Ratio (入)	p Calculated From (6)
2 M, = 2.16952	1/2	. 61251
$_{3}M_{i} = 3.19050$	1/3	.56460
$4U_1 = 4.09295$	1/4	.52134
3M2 = 1.47061	2/3	. 45885
4 M2 = 1.88658	1/2	. 42006
4/43 = 1.28285	3/4	. 39292

NOTE: The p's are calculated from (6) assuming b = 2. From experience with actual data the value of  $\underline{b}$  must be known or determinable. It need not be 2, as here assumed.

$$P_{ave.} = \frac{.61251 + .56460 + .52134 + .45885 + .42006 + .39292}{6}$$

 $p_{ave.} = .49505$ 

Now, taking 12 months = 3 x (4 months), we predict (using  $\lambda$  = 1/3 and p<sub>ave</sub>. = .49505)

That

12 MO. SALES TOTAL =  $Y(12) = \mathcal{M} \cdot (4 \text{ MO. SALES TOTAL})$ 

Where, 
$$M = 1 + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1\right) \left(\log_2 \frac{1}{1 - pave.}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$
 (Eqn 7)

i. e., 
$$\mathcal{U} = 1 + (3 - 1) \left( \log_2 \frac{1}{1 - .49505} \right)^{1/2} = 2.98573$$

Thus, 
$$Y(12) = 2.98573 Y(4) = 2.98573 (40,950)$$
  
 $Y(12) = 122,266 units (ANSWER)$